

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/839,963	09/839,963 04/23/2001		Sangki Hong	CS99-210	4495		
28112	7590	02/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		& ASSOCIATES	MALDONAL	MALDONADO, JULIO J			
28 DAVIS A		Y 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2823			

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>0</i> /2				
		09/839,963	HONG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Julio J. Maldonado	2823					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			dress				
Period f	or Reply	•	•					
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Pensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. Tr SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repulation of the provision of the provi	136(a). In no event, however, moly within the statutory minimum will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co					
Status								
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 l	November 2003						
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.		•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		matters, prosecution as to the	merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
· · _		ding in the application						
کا(۳	 Claim(s) 1-3,5-12,15,17-21 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
6)⊠	<u> </u>							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
′	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
·	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	•	R 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	* ' '	• •				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	(-)					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			Stage				
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies	not received.					
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		[·] No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other		,				

Application/Control Number: 09/839,963 Page 2

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

1. The cancellation of claims 4, 13, 14, 16, 22 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 15, 17-21 and 23 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes et al. (U.S. 4,536,951) in view of Huang et al. (U.S. 6,180,509 B1) and Liu et al. and (U.S. 5,693,568).

In reference to claims 1 and 2, Rhodes et al. (Figs.1-3) in a related method to form self-aligned anti-via interconnects teach providing a semiconductor substrate (4); depositing a first metal layer (2) overlying said semiconductor substrate (4); depositing an etch stop layer (6) overlying said first metal layer (2) wherein said etch stop layer (6) comprises a material selected from the group comprising chromium and titanium (column 4, lines 31 – 33); depositing a second metal layer (8) overlying said etch stop layer (6); etching through said second metal layer (8), said etch stop layer (6), and said first metal layer (2) to form connective lines; thereafter etching through said second metal layer (8) to form vias; thereafter depositing a dielectric layer (12) overlying said vias, said connective lines and said semiconductor substrate (4); and removing portions of said dielectric layer (12) to complete said self-aligned, anti-via interconnects in the

Art Unit: 2823

manufacture of the integrated circuit device, wherein said first (2) and second (8) metal layer comprises a material selected from the group comprising copper, aluminum and aluminum alloys (column 2, line 44 – column 4, line 33).

Rhodes et al. fail to teach wherein said etch stop layer comprises a tungsten containing film. However, Huang et al. (Figs.1-6) in a related method to pattern metal layers to form interconnects in an integrated circuit device teaches depositing an etch stop layer (28) over a first metal layer (22); and depositing a second metal layer (48) over said etch stop layer (28), wherein said etch stop layer comprises a material selected from the group comprising titanium, tungsten or any conductive material relative to which aluminum and aluminum alloys may be selectively etched (column 5, line 65 – column 6, line 48). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Rhodes et al. and Huang et al. to enable the formation of the etch stop layer of Rhodes et al. to be performed according to the teachings of Huang et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed etch stop formation step of Rhodes et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. MPEP 2144.07.

The combined teachings of Rhodes et al. and Huang et al. fail to teach polishing down said dielectric layer to complete said self-aligned, anti-via interconnects in the manufacture of the integrated circuit device. However, Liu et al. (Figs.1-9) in a related method to form self-aligned anti-via interconnects teach depositing dielectric layer (51)

Art Unit: 2823

over a patterned via (40); and polishing down said dielectric layer (50), completing said anti-via interconnect structure (column 7, lines 51 – 55). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Rhodes et al. and Huang et al. with the teachings of Liu et al. enable the removing step of the combined teachings of Rhodes et al. and Huang et al. to be performed according to the teachings of Liu et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed removing step of the combined teachings of Rhodes et al. and Huang et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. MPEP 2144.07.

In reference to claim 3, the combined teachings of Rhodes et al., Huang et al. and Liu et al. teach wherein said semiconductor substrate comprises semiconductor devices in and on a silicon substrate covered by an insulating layer (Rhodes et al., column 2, lines 44 – 45, and Liu et al., column 6, lines 39 – 53).

In reference to claim 5, the combined teachings of Rhodes et al., Huang et al. and Liu et al. teach using silicon oxide as an interlayer dielectric film (Huang et al., column 5,lines 14 – 30).

In reference to claim 6, the combined teachings of Rhodes et al., Huang et al. and Liu et al. substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to teach wherein the dielectric layer deposited to a thickness of between about 5,000 Angstroms and 20,000 Angstroms. Notwithstanding, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine

Art Unit: 2823

experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

5. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes et al. ('951) in view of Huang et al. ('509) and Liu et al. ('568) as applied to claims 1-3, 5 and 6 above, and further in view of Wang et al. (U.S. 6,080,660).

The combined teachings of Rhodes et al., Huang et al. and Liu et al. teach forming an etch-stop layer comprising titanium nitride (Liu et al., column 6, lines 56-62); and forming titanium nitride on a second metal layer (Huang et al. column 6, lines 43 – 48). Rhodes et al. in combination with Liu et al. fail to teach depositing an antireflective coating (ARC) layer comprising titanium nitride prior to etch through the metal layer. However, Wang et al. (Figs.2A-2C) in a related method to form interconnect structures teach the steps of performing a partial etch process comprising a timed etch on a metal layer (22); using silicon oxide as a dielectric layer (23); and depositing an antireflective coating (ARC) layer (24) comprising titanium nitride prior to etch through the metal layer

Art Unit: 2823

(22) (column 3, line 55 – column 4, line 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the titanium nitride formed on the second metal layer as taught by the combined teachings of Rhodes et al., Huang et al. and Liu et al. as an ARC layer and silicon oxide as a dielectric layer as taught by Wang et al. in the anti-via formation method of Rhodes et al., Huang et al. and Liu et al., since these materials are commonly used in the fabrication of metal interconnects (column 1, lines 34-63).

6. Claims 9-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes et al. (U.S. 4,536,951) in view of Ye et al. (U.S. 6,080,529) and Liu et al. and (U.S. 5,693,568).

In reference to claims 9 and 10, Rhodes et al. (Figs.1-3) in a related method to form self-aligned anti-via interconnects teach providing a semiconductor substrate (4); depositing a first metal layer (2) overlying said semiconductor substrate (4); depositing an etch stop layer (6) overlying said first metal layer (2) wherein said etch stop layer (6) comprises a material selected from the group comprising chromium and titanium (column 4, lines 31 – 33); depositing a second metal layer (8) overlying said etch stop layer (6); etching through said second metal layer (8), said etch stop layer (6), and said first metal layer (2) to form connective lines; thereafter etching through said second metal layer (8) to form vias; thereafter depositing a dielectric layer (12) overlying said vias, said connective lines and said semiconductor substrate (4); and removing portions of said dielectric layer (12) to complete said self-aligned, anti-via interconnects in the manufacture of the integrated circuit device, wherein said first (2) and second (8) metal

Art Unit: 2823

layer comprises a material selected from the group comprising copper, aluminum and aluminum alloys (column 2, line 44 – column 4, line 33).

Rhodes et al. fail to teach wherein said etch stop layer comprises a tantalum containing film. However, Ye et al. (Figs.2A-3G) in a related method to pattern metal layers teach depositing an etch stop layer (218) over a metal layer (216) comprising copper or aluminum; wherein said etch stop layer comprises a material selected from the group comprising titanium, and a tantalum containing material (column 12, line 40 – column 15, line 25). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. to enable the formation of the etch stop layer of Rhodes et al. to be performed according to the teachings of Huang et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed etch stop formation step of Rhodes et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. MPEP 2144.07.

The combined teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. fail to teach polishing down said dielectric layer to complete said self-aligned, anti-via interconnects in the manufacture of the integrated circuit device. However, Liu et al. (Figs.1-9) in a related method to form self-aligned anti-via interconnects teach depositing dielectric layer (51) over a patterned via (40); and polishing down said dielectric layer (50), completing said anti-via interconnect structure (column 7, lines 51 – 55). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. with the teachings of Liu et al. enable the removing step of the combined

Art Unit: 2823

teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. to be performed according to the teachings of Liu et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed removing step of the combined teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. MPEP 2144.07.

In reference to claims 11 and 12, the combined teachings of Rhodes et al., Ye et al. and Liu et al. substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to teach wherein the thickness of the first metal layer and the second metal layer are, respectively, between 1,000 Angstroms and 10,000 Angstroms and between 3,000 Angstroms and 10,000 Angstroms. Notwithstanding, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Art Unit: 2823

In reference to claim 15, the combined teachings of Rhodes et al., Ye et al. and Liu et al. teach wherein said step of etching through said second metal layer to form vias has an endpoint at said etch stop layer (Rhodes et al., column 2, line 44 – column 4, line 33).

7. Claims 17-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes et al. ('951) in view of Liu et al. ('568), Ye et al. ('529) and Wang et al. (U.S. 6,080,660).

In reference to claims 17-19 and 23, Rhodes et al. (Figs.1-3) in a related method to form self-aligned anti-via interconnects teach providing a semiconductor substrate (4); depositing a first metal layer (2) overlying said semiconductor substrate (4); depositing an etch stop layer (6) overlying said first metal layer (2) wherein said etch stop layer (6) comprises a material selected from the group comprising chromium and titanium (column 4, lines 31 – 33); depositing a second metal layer (8) overlying said etch stop layer (6); etching through said second metal layer (8), said etch stop layer (6), and said first metal layer (2) to form connective lines; thereafter etching through said second metal layer (8) to form vias; thereafter depositing a dielectric layer (12) overlying said vias, said connective lines and said semiconductor substrate (4); and removing portions of said dielectric layer (12) to complete said self-aligned, anti-via interconnects in the manufacture of the integrated circuit device, wherein said first (2) and second (8) metal layer comprises a material selected from the group comprising copper, aluminum and aluminum alloys (column 2, line 44 – column 4, line 33).

Art Unit: 2823

Rhodes et al. fail to teach wherein said etch stop layer comprises a tantalum containing film. However, Ye et al. (Figs.2A-3G) in a related method to pattern metal layers teach depositing an etch stop layer (218) over a metal layer (216) comprising copper or aluminum; wherein said etch stop layer comprises a material selected from the group comprising titanium, and a tantalum containing material (column 12, line 40 – column 15, line 25). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. to enable the formation of the etch stop layer of Rhodes et al. to be performed according to the teachings of Huang et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed etch stop formation step of Rhodes et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. MPEP 2144.07.

The combined teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. fail to teach polishing down said dielectric layer to complete said self-aligned, anti-via interconnects in the manufacture of the integrated circuit device. However, Liu et al. (Figs.1-9) in a related method to form self-aligned anti-via interconnects teach depositing dielectric layer (51) over a patterned via (40); and polishing down said dielectric layer (50), completing said anti-via interconnect structure (column 7, lines 51 – 55). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. with the teachings of Liu et al. enable the removing step of the combined teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. to be performed according to the teachings of Liu et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2823

would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed removing step of the combined teachings of Rhodes et al. and Ye et al. and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. MPEP 2144.07.

Rhodes et al. in combination with Ye et al. and Liu et al. fail to teach depositing an antireflective coating (ARC) layer comprising titanium nitride over the second metal layer; and using silicon oxide as a dielectric layer. However, Wang et al. (Figs.2A-2C) in a related method to form interconnect structures teach the steps of depositing an antireflective coating (ARC) layer (24) comprising titanium nitride over a metal layer (22); and using silicon oxide as a dielectric layer (23) (column 3, line 55 – column 4, line 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use titanium nitride as an ARC layer and silicon oxide as a dielectric layer as taught by Wang et al. in the anti-via formation method of Rhodes et al., Ye et al. and Liu et al., since these materials are commonly used in the fabrication of metal interconnects (column 1, lines 34-63).

In reference to claims 20 and 21, the combined teachings of Rhodes et al., Ye et al., Liu et al. and Wang et al. fail to teach depositing the first metal layer between about 1,000 Angstroms and 10,000 Angstroms and depositing the second metal layer with a thickness of about 3,000 Angstroms to 10,000 Angstroms. Notwithstanding, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions

Art Unit: 2823

are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); in re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-12, 15, 17-21 and 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2823

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800. See

MPEP 203.08.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax number for this

group is 703-872-9306 for before final submissions, 703-872-9306 for after final

submissions and the customer service number for group 2800 is (703) 306-3329.

Updates can be found at http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2800.htm.

George Fourson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2823

Julio J. Maldonado February 21, 2004